

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/666,704	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LEAH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Eric V. Woods	<b>Art Unit</b> 2672	

**All Participants:**

(1) Eric V. Woods.

(2) David Purks (40,133).
**Status of Application: Pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 24 June 2005**
**Time: 1 p.m. EST**
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

34

**Prior art documents discussed:**
*Newly submitted Weitzman (US 2003/0200347)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant to propose an amendment to deal with a potential double patenting issue regarding claim 1 of the Weitzman reference vs. claim 34 of the instant application, and applicant's representative gave permission for certain language to be added to claim 34. .